

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,585	11/25/2003	Leonard Forbes	1303.017US2	5778	
21186	7590 01/13/2005		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EVERHART, CARIDAD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2825		
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v				Are -				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/721,585	FORBES ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Caridad M. Everhart	2825					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimurill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	·—	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 18-21</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-10 and 12-17</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the at	tached Office Action or form P	PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmer	at(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) X Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-21-04;2-97-94;</u> // <sub>25</sub> / <sub>4</sub> 3	5) 🔲 No	per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (P ner:	ГО-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,585

Art Unit: 2825

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-10, and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang, et al. (US 2003/0011009A1) in view of Riho, et al. (US 6,411,160B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,585

Art Unit: 2825

Zhang, et al disclose a first source/drain region, a second source/drain region, a gate opposing a channel region between the first and second source/drain regions, and the material forming the source/drain regions and the gate are materials having a work function greater than 4.1 eV(paragraphs 0009 and 0010 and 0031 and Fig. 6). The thickness of the gate dielectric 226 is within the recited range (paragraph 0041). The metal gate may also be tungsten nitride(paragraph 0031) or a silicon compound such as a silicide having a work function in the recited range(paragraph 0046). Metals including platinum may be used for the gate material(paragraph 0045).

Zhang, et al is silent with respect to the details of the method of operating the memory device, although Zhang, et al does disclose the step of activating the gate by supplying voltage(paragraph 0035).

Riho, et al discloses that the n-well of a memory device is supplied with power which is stepped down, so that it is supplied with a voltage less than the supply voltage(col. 4, lines 10-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have operated the memory device disclosed by Zhang et al by combining the teaching according to the method taught by Riho, et al because the method taught by Riho, et al because the memory functions stably when the supply voltage is stepped down. With respect to the biasing of the n-well either positively or negatively, it is conventional in the art to use positive bias in order to store electrical charge which is then stored in the capacitors of a read out from the capacitor onto the data lines, as is conventional in the art, and it is known in the art to bias the well negatively in the erase operation.

Claims 1-6,18-21 are allowed.

Claim11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not teach or suggest the limitations "first and second storage nodes having a work function greater than 4.1 eV" nor "p-doped silicon carbide and p-doped silicon oxycarbide" nor "a second doped semiconductor material having a work function grater than 4.1 eV".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 571-272-1892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,585 Page 5

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OVERNARY EXAMINED

C. Everhart 1-11-2005